

# Long Term Landslide Mitigation Technique Illustratedacase Study

## Arghadeep Dasgupta

Submitted: 05-05-2021	Revised: 18-05-2021	Accepted: 22-05-2021

**ABSTRACT:**Landslideisthemassmovementcom prisingofrock,debrisorsoilundergravityinfluence.Th emain slide triggering factors are rain and tectonic seismicity induced landslides occurring inHimalayanbeltofIndiansubcontinent.Anthropogen icactivitiesliketunnelblastingforhydroelectric

projects, unplanned excavations or cuttings of side for road widening purposeactivates failure mechanism. On the other hand, continuous blasting and tunneling weakens therockjointlayers.Basedseverityofrockjointsandroc ksurfaceconditions;rockstructureshavebeen

characterized in to different range of GSI chart. Thorough study and analysis is requiredtocheckthelongtermstabilityforthesetypeof weakslopes withGSIrangeof10to40havingfair, poor to very poor surface conditions lying close to important and sensitive structures.Present study reveals the effectiveness of preventive measure applied to the unstable slopestretch in the vicinity of Teesta stage III hydroelectric system. Finite element modelling

hasbeencarriedoutforthecriticalslidetriggeringstretc husingRocscience-

Phase2v8.005.Analysishasbeencarriedoutwithoutan dwithstabilitymeasures.Ithasbeenobservedthat50mv ertical cladding wall having pre-stressed cable anchor with no base support survived the 2012earthquakeof 7Richtermagnitudescalewith nosigns of distress.

Keywords:Landslide;Himalayanbelt;Longtermstab ility;Finiteelementmodelling;Pre-stressedcable anchor;TeestastageIIIhydroelectricsystem.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Constructionofdamsinseismicproneareaisa challengingtask. Thisrequirespropergeologicalandto pographicalanalysisinordertoevaluatestructuralbeha viourandto obtaincriticalsafetyfactors. Fig. 1 depicts factor causing the reduction in the shear strength in same strata andadjoining layer. It can be seen clearly from this figure that how rain infiltrates through thepersistent and non-persistent joints causing decrease in shear properties and consequentlycreating detachment of block in blocky rock structure and slide in highly jointed rock mass.Continuous build of pore water pressure generates flow of muck or loose mass overlying thewaterresistingstrata.

The Teesta stage III hydro project is a huge mega dam in Sikkim comprises of concrete facerock dam of 60 m height constructed across Teesta River near Chungtang village, which hasseenmulti-faceted impacts on theindigenousLepchapeople of Sikkim.



Figure 2: Landslide mechanism induced due to rainfall



Figure 1: Typical slided zone along road





Figure 3: Map showing Teesta Stage III location Figure 4. Protectionworksforunstable portion

Unstable portion was observed during construction activities at the dam site and cutting of leftabutmentwhichwassusceptibletofailure. GSIof 25showstherockstructureofdisintegrated-

poorlyinterlocked,heavilybrokenrockmasswith mixtureofangular androundedrock pieces.Thisvalueof GSIsuggests a weak rock condition.

## **II. METHODOLOGY** 1.1. Geologicalsite description

Site geology of dam site area lies in the



Figure 5. Site condition before start of work

Geological Strength Index (GSI) of slope is computed based on rock mass structure and surfacecondition of discontinuities with reference to GSI chart published by Hoek and Brown (1997).Extent of weathering, joint roughness and infilling material between the discontinuities, jointapertures were studied and analysis; based on rock formation of central crystalline; subdivided intoChungthang series, Darjeeling gneiss &Rongli series of Pre-Cambrian age. Chungthang seriescomprisesofquartz,biotitegneiss,biotiteschist,c alc-silicateandthinnerbandsofargentiferous

- sillimanite heavily micaceous gneissose.Rock units are highly folded; asymmetric, isoclinal innaturewithNE dips.

Landslideissuewasobservedduetoexcessivestripping andcuttingoftheleftabutmentasshownin fig. 5. About 1 lac  $m^3$  debris slided down the hill after the cutting work at left abutment of damsite.



Figure 6: Before commencement of cable anchoring

which ratings were assigned to reach GSI value(Panditetal.,2019).GSIvalueof25wasobserveds howingrockstructureofdisintegrated-poorlyinterlocked,heavilybroken rock mass

withmixtureof angular and roundedrock pieces.

### 1.2. Calculatingshearstrengthreductionfactors

DOI: 10.35629/5252-030511581166 Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1159



Finite element of slope requires selection of constitutive relation between stress strain behaviour,which depends upon the material type. Equivalent continuum modelling with elastic and plasticrangesis

adoptedforthesetypeofdisintegratedrock layers. In present case study of FEM analysis, Generalizedhoek brown (Hoek et al., 2002) with

$$m_{b} = m_{i} * \exp\left(\frac{GSI - 100}{28 - 14D}\right)$$
  

$$s = \exp\left(\frac{GSI - 100}{9 - 3D}\right) \qquad (2)$$
  

$$a = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\left(e^{-GSI/15} - e^{-20/3}\right)$$

The extent of problem domain of left abutment of Teesta dam site has been modelled, discretized with fine mesh density. Slant height of slope is 226m having average inclination angle of 62<sup>0</sup>.Average depth of overburden massand topweathered surface rockis around 24m, 12 mrespectively.Slopehas been modelled with boundary materialconstants "mb, "s" and "a" have been reported and derived from GSI values (Equation 1-3) andverified from rocscienceroclab data software (Pandit et al., 2019). GHB material model adoptedforthegneissoseandsurfaceweatheredrock,w hileMohr-

Coulombmaterialmodelforoverburdensoil.

conditionshavingslopefaceasfree, verticalsidesasroll ersupportallowingverticaldisplacement, baseisrestrai nedfromanymoment (i.e. hinged support). Six noded tri angular with uniform type meshis adopted for the study as recommended in Rocsciencemanual (2012).



Figure 7. Schematic diagram of proposed cable anchor stabilization at left abutment of dam axis





Figure 8. Typical discretized mesh of slope

Once material properties are assigned and mesh discretization is done, the slope stability analysishave been performed for static and seismic analysis (Zone IV) having coefficient of horizontalseismic load as 0.15 and coefficient of



Figure 9. Discretized mesh of slope with stabilization

vertical seismic load as 0.1 acting vertically downwardsand away from slope; which is the severe load combination. Pore water pressure with Ru as 0.25hasbeen considered for the analysis.

MaterialType	Overburden	Surfaceweatheredrock	Bedrock(Genessos
			ie)
MaterialModel	MohrCouloumb	GeneralizedHoekBrown	Generalized
			Hoek
			Brown
Unit weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	21	22	24
Cohesion(kN/m <sup>2</sup> )	98	215	482
Friction angle	28	17.88	19.79
(degree)			
mb	-	0.066	0.108
s	-	1.62e-06	3.73e-6
a	-	0.544	0.531
IntactUCS(Mpa)	-	70	120

Table.1 Properties of materials used in FEM analysis

Once all material properties and discretization has been done for static and seismic condition,

theslopeisanalyzedtoassesscriticalstrengthreduction factor. Slopemodelshavebeen also analyzed after apply ingthestabilization measure in the form of pre-

stressedcableanchorwithRCCcladdingwall.Theclad dingwallof500mmthicknessisliftedinstagesof7to10 meters.Takingabouttheconstruction method, the slope protection using cable anchors with cladding wall is a top downconstruction process, so there is minimal stress occur at the toe of the slope. For the present

casestudy, the toe protection is applied above and below slope bench. As per IS 14448-

1997,thefixedanchorlengthisdesignedbasedonthethr eefactorssuchasfailureofrockandgroutbond,failureof grout /anchor bond and failure of anchor. Based on these recommendations, failure criteria ofrock and gout bond observed as critical with bond stress 160 kN/m and fixed anchor length as12m.Perforateddrainagepipesareinstalledalongslo peprofile(claddingwall)torelievetheporewaterpressu re.



Table.2Properties of stabilized material used for protection system		
Pre-stressedcableanchor		
Tieback member		
150		
100		
25 to 40 m		
3		
3		
160		
120		

## III. RESULTS

 $\label{eq:staticandseismiccondition} Analysis of left abut mentated a maximum staticand seismic condition with SRF0.95 and 0.81.$ 



Figure 11. (b) Total displacement for unstable slope under seismic condition



Following results depicts effect of inclusion of prestressed cable anchors in reducing horizontaldisplacement, total displacement. Critical SRF value of 1.65 with 41 mm displacement for thestaticcase(fig.10to14)and1.32criticalSRFwith47 mmdisplacementhasbeenobservedunderseismiccase (fig.15 to 17).



Figure 12. (g): Horizontal displacement (Left side)



Figure 12. (b): Total displacement for stabilized slope under static condition



Figure 13. Variation of strength reduction factor with maximum total displacement for static case (with stabilization)





Figure 14. (a) HorizontaldisplacementFigure 14. (b): Totaldisplacement(Rightside)forstabilized slope under seismic condition



Figure 15. Variation of strength reduction factor with maximum total displacement for seismic case (with stabilization)

The chart shows the relative increase incritical SRF values for stabilized and unstabilized conditions under static and seismic case.





Figure 16. Variation of strength reduction factor under different stability conditions



Figure 17: Condition of stabilized slope with pre-stressed anchor after 2012, earthquake (Magnitude 7)

## **IV.DISCUSSION**

Stabilization of poor class rock in seismic and region of high pore pressure is very vital. Use ofpre-

stressedcableanchorprovideseffectiveandlongterms olution.Itisclearfromtheabovefiniteelementanalysisr esultsthatthereissignificantimprovementinthecritica lsafetyfactorresults.Ithas been observed that there is 73.68% and 62.96% improvement in safety factor values

understaticandseismicconditionsrespectivelyafterin clusionofpre-stressedcableanchors.Displacement is also a critical criteria for the slope stability. In present case, displacementsrestrainedwith in thenominal limitsforstaticcaseand seismic case.

The mechanism behind the stabilization is due to increase in the shear strength along the failuresurface, as pre-tensioned cable anchor increases the active resistance resulting from the stage of mobilization of sheared mass along slip line.

#### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

Presentanalysisshowsthatthereissignificant improvementinthesafetyfactorresults.Thecurrentstat usoftheprojectsiteisthatthe50mverticalcladdingwall havingpre-stressedcableanchorwithno base support survived the 2012 earthquake of 7 Richter magnitude scale with no signs of distress.

## **VI.ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This research was supported by Er. Abhineet Godyal & Er Surada Yadavrao. Ithank our colleagues from RBI 81 who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research, although they may not agree with all of the interpretations / conclusions of this paper. I thank all my collegue for their assistance, comments that greatly improved the manuscript.



#### REFERENCES

- [1]. BS 8539: 2012 Code of practice for the selection and installation of post installed anchors inconcreteand masonry.
- [2]. Gupta S (2006) Design of pre-stressed cable anchor for stabilization of the Himalayan slopes.Dissertation,IITRoorkee.
- [3]. HoekE,BrownET(1997)Practicalestimatesofr ockmassstrength.InternationalJournalofRock Mechanicsand MiningSciences;34(8):1165-86.
- [4]. Hoek E, Carranza-Torres CT, Corkum B (2002) Hoek-Brown failure criterion—2002 edition.
   In:ProceedingsofNorthAmericaRockmech.so c.http://www.rocscience.com/library/pdf/RL
- <u>1.pdf.Accessed6 Oct2011</u>.
   [5]. IS 14268: 1995 Uncoated stress relieved low relaxation seven-ply strand for pre-stressed concretebyBureau of Indian Standards(BIS), NewDelhi.
- [6]. IS 14448: 1997 Code of practice for reinforcement of rock slopes with plane wedge failure byBureauofIndian Standards(BIS), NewDelhi.
- [7]. IS 1893 (Part 1): 2016 Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures, Part 1: GeneralProvisionsand Buildings byBureauof Indian Standards (BIS), NewDelhi.
- [8]. Lees A (2001) Geotechnical Finite Element Analysis. ICE Publishing, One Great George Street, Westminster, London
- [9]. Muhunthan B et al (2005) Analysis and design of wire mesh/cable net slope protection. Technicalreport no. WA-RD612.1, Universityof Washington
- [10]. Pandit K, Sarkar S, Godayal A, Shazan M (2019) A FEM Study on Bearing Capacity and

SafetyofShallowFootingsonSlopesforGarhw al.ISRM14thInternationalCongressonRockM echanicsandRockEngineering,FozdoIguassu, Brazil.(https://tinyurl.com/yy64fuq3)DOI:<u>htt</u> ps://doi.org/10.1201/9780367823184

- [11]. Rocscience (2012) A 2D finite element program for calculating stresses and estimating supportaround the underground excavations. Geomechanics software and Research, Rocscience INC, Toronto, Canada
- [12]. TechnicalReport:AnAssessmentofdamsinInd ia'sNorthEastseekingcarboncreditsfromclean development mechanismoftheUnited Nationsframeworkconventiononclimatechan ge.